

# CFPB head pitches tougher punishments for repeat offender banks

## Article

**The news:** Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) Director Rohit Chopra is [advocating](#) for big US banks with repeat federal offenses to **face punishments rigorous enough to put an end to them in their present form.**

- Chopra criticized status quo regulatory approaches as too lenient and outlined his proposals in a speech [prepared for](#) the University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School.

- In particular, he took issue with violations of court or agency orders, stating that “recidivism has become normalized and calculated as the cost of doing business.”
- Big financial institutions (FIs), Chopra contends, get preferential treatment because they are **“too big to fail”** and **“too big to jail.”**
- He named five big FIs that have been repeatedly on the receiving end of CFPB actions: **Wells Fargo, JPMorgan Chase, Citigroup, American Express, and Discover.**

**The punishments:** Chopra outlined six potential punishments for recidivist FIs, explicitly highlighting order violations.

- **Taking away FIs’ “government-granted privileges”** would be the most severe punishment. This includes, per Chopra, **barring depository institutions from FDIC coverage and even halting their ongoing operations.**
- **Capping their growth or sizes**, as the Federal Reserve **did** with Wells Fargo in 2018 after the fake accounts scandal.
- **Ordering banks to shed certain business types**, under the rationale that new management without problematic business models could still run them.
- **Imposing leverage and capital requirements** designed to remove banks’ incentives for participating in short-term activities like chasing return on equity.
- **Prohibiting FIs from engaging in some business practices** through business closures or by halting specific activities.
- **Ousting managers and board members**, potentially coupled with lifetime occupation bans and charges.

Explaining the CFPB’s legal role, Chopra said **its authorizing statute lets it pursue “limits on the activities or functions”** of companies that violate orders and laws.

**Chopra’s Challenges:** The CFPB can’t unilaterally address Chopra’s vision, **per** The New York Times.

- It pointed out that the CFPB will need support—and shifts in longstanding approaches—from other regulators, like the FDIC, the Fed, and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).
- Banking lobbying groups will likely oppose stricter enforcement measures, the Times added.

**The big takeaway:** Even if Chopra’s proposals are a wishlist, rather than imminent changes, banks that behave as if recent historical enforcement patterns will persist risk finding out otherwise.

- At the very least, compliance with orders will help them avoid negative publicity and incurring related costs
- Chopra can still take actions directly allowed under the CFPB’s authority.
- Administration steps like merger-approach reviews **from** the FDIC, **plus** the Justice Department and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), suggest openness to adopting forms of stricter action, particularly regarding banks’ business lines.

**US Bank Executives' Leading Concerns, 2020-2022**

*% of respondents*

	2020	2021	2022
Ability to attract qualified talent	27%	19%	67%
Cybersecurity	23%	28%	51%
Interest rate environment	43%	56%	50%
Regulatory burden	22%	18%	44%
Efficiency, noninterest expenses, costs	32%	36%	39%
Weak economy/loan demand	24%	48%	36%
Noninterest income	11%	17%	29%
New customer growth	25%	25%	28%
Cost of funds	15%	8%	8%
Credit quality/problem loans	10%	42%	6%

Note: 2020 n=300; 2021 n=260; 2022 n=300

Source: Cornerstone Advisors, "What's Going On in Banking 2022," Jan 25, 2022

273071

[InsiderIntelligence.com](https://www.insiderintelligence.com)